

would be efficacious to soothe the nerves and improve the circulation, tone the arteries, invigorate the heart muscles and normalize blood pressure; and ward off or prevent common colds or grip, were false and misleading since it would not be efficacious for such purposes.

The article was alleged to be misbranded also under the provisions of the law applicable to foods, as reported in F. N. J. No. 2994.

On October 1, 1941, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

634. Misbranding of Effervescent Kruschen Salts. U. S. v. 21 Dozen Packages of Effervescent Kruschen. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 5214. Sample No. 42575-E.)

On July 25, 1941, the United States attorney for the Western District of Pennsylvania filed a libel against 21 dozen 5-ounce packages of Effervescent Kruschen Salts at Pittsburgh, Pa., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about April 23, 1941, by the Johnstone Drug Sales Corporation from Rochester, N. Y.; and charging that it was misbranded.

Analysis of a sample of the article showed that it consisted essentially of anhydrous Epsom salt, (18.7 percent), with small proportions of common salt (sodium chloride), potassium chloride, sodium sulfate, potassium sulfate, sodium bicarbonate, and citric acid.

It was alleged to be misbranded in that statements in an accompanying circular which created the impression that it constituted an effective agent for reducing weight, that it had a stimulating effect on the liver and bowels, and that it acted as a mild diuretic, were false and misleading since it would not be efficacious for such purposes.

On November 19, 1941, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

635. Misbranding of Joint-Ease. U. S. v. 29 Tubes and 11 Tubes of Joint-Ease (and 1 other seizure of Joint-Ease). Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. Nos. 6002, 6303. Sample Nos. 59034-E, 59035-E, 87120-E, 87121-E.)

On October 8 and November 28, 1941, the United States attorney for the District of Columbia filed libels against 125 1-ounce tubes and 56 2½-ounce tubes of Joint-Ease at Washington, D. C., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce within the period from on or about July 7 to on or about October 23, 1940, by Pope Laboratories from Hallowell, Maine; and charging that it was misbranded.

Analyses of samples of the article showed that it consisted essentially of salicylic acid and volatile oils including eucalyptol, camphor, menthol, methyl salicylate, and turpentine oil incorporated in petrolatum.

The article was alleged to be misbranded in that various statements in the labeling and the designs showing portions of the human anatomy, which represented that it would be efficacious in the treatment of joint diseases, would ease joints, relieve minor joint aches and pains, muscular lameness, strained muscles, stiff neck, and all surface muscular aches and pains, also aches and pains affecting the neck, shoulders, elbows, fingers, knees, and feet, and that it would provide a competent treatment for irritations or miseries due to common colds in nose, throat, and chest, were false and misleading, since it would not be efficacious for such purposes.

On October 29 and December 22, 1941, no claimant having appeared, judgments of condemnation were entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

636. Misbranding of papaya syrup. U. S. v. 243 Dozen Bottles and 46 Dozen Bottles of Tropical's Original Papaya Syrup. Consent decree of condemnation. Product ordered released under bond to be relabeled. (F. D. C. No. 4857. Sample No. 62052-E.)

On June 10, 1941, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois filed a libel against 289 dozen bottles of papaya syrup at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about February 25, 1941, by Tropical Fruit Products from St. Louis, Mo.; and charging that it was misbranded.

Analysis of a sample of the article, which was an opaque, yellow, syrupy liquid, showed that it consisted essentially of sugars, fruit acids, and orange and lemon oils, with the flavor of papaya. No active papain nor other proteolytic enzymes were found.

The article was alleged to be misbranded in that representations in the labeling that it would supply energy food which could be easily absorbed; that it would

promote health and build energy, thus making one feel more alive and full of pep; that it would reduce the absorption of poisonous toxins and stomach distress; that it was an alkalizer and body builder; that it would prevent kidney, liver, and stomach diseases and keep the skin clear; that it was an appropriate treatment for anemia, gastritis, indigestion, constipation, arthritis, rheumatism, ulcers, colitis, sinusitis, influenza, colds, dysentery and obesity; and that it would increase the stature of children, were false and misleading since it would not be efficacious for such purposes.

It also was alleged to be misbranded under the provisions of the law applicable to foods, as reported in F. N. J. No. 3647.

On June 24, 1941, C. O. Pinkard, claimant, having admitted the allegations of the libel, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered released under bond conditioned that it be relabeled under the supervision of ble to foods, as reported in F. N. J. No. 3647.

637. Misbranding of Magozone. U. S. v. 28 Packages of Magozone. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 4975. Sample No. 5176-E.)

On June 23, 1941, the United States attorney for the Southern District of Ohio filed a libel against 28 packages of Magozone at Cincinnati, Ohio, alleging that the article had been shipped on or about April 17, 1941, by the Eastern American Association for Oxygen Therapy from Bloomfield, N. J.; and charging that it was misbranded.

Analysis showed that the article consisted essentially of magnesium oxide and peroxide, and that it neither contained nor would produce ozone.

The article was alleged to be misbranded in that certain statements in the labeling which represented and implied that it would liberate ozone; that it would eliminate the cause of diseases, restore healthy blood, repair damage; that it would be efficacious in all ailments due to constipation and faulty assimilation, metabolism, and elimination which result in a gradual poisoning of the system, as well as those due to other poisonings of the body; that it was a general purifier for many ailments, gas, poisoning, etc.; that it would be of value in the treatment of nausea, gas in stomach or intestines, headache, dizziness, pressure upon the heart, biliousness; that it would be efficacious in the treatment of diarrhea and ulceration of the digestive tract; that it would purify the blood and lymph vessels and organs; that it would prevent the development of parasites; and that it would eliminate the causes of disease and restore lost health; and that another drug, namely, Calozone, would be efficacious in the correction of running bowels and in the treatment of pus or mucous formation, were false and misleading since the articles would not be efficacious for such purposes.

On August 7, 1941, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

638. Misbranding of rock candy crystals. U. S. v. 54 Boxes of Rock Candy Crystals. Default decree of condemnation. Product distributed to charitable institutions. (F. D. C. No. 6323. Sample No. 49823-E.)

Examination showed that this product consisted of coarse sucrose crystals.

On December 2, 1941, the United States attorney for the Western District of Louisiana filed a libel against 54 boxes, each containing 24 packages, of rock candy crystals at Shreveport, La., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about October 30 and November 3, 1941, by Martin Candy Co. from Dallas, Tex.; and charging that it was misbranded.

The article was alleged to be misbranded (1) in that the following statements on the package were false and misleading: "Dissolve the Rock Candy Crystals In This Package In a Half Pint of the Best Old Rye Whiskey Such a Cordial Is a Cardinal Remedy for Coughs. Colds. And all Pulmonary Complaints. * * * A Most Excellent Tonic Recommended by Physicians," since the consensus of medical opinion does not support the representation that the article when used in the manner directed would be efficacious for the purposes recommended, and the labeling failed to reveal that fact; and (2) in that its container was so made and filled as to be misleading, since the packages were too large for the amount of crystals they contained and the crystals did not occupy a reasonable amount of the available space.

It also was alleged to be misbranded under the provisions of the law applicable to foods, as reported in F. N. J. No. 3639.